

REPORTER

Start from 1 and add/subtract

$$1 + \square + \square - \square = \square$$

REPORT	phenomenon explanation	theory/model	relevant experiments	comparison between theory and experiment	own contribution	task fulfilment	science communication	DISCUSSION WITH OPPONENT	ANSWERS TO JURY, OPPONENT, and REVIEWER'S QUESTIONS																	
0	almost no	almost no	almost no	almost no	almost no	misunderstood	unclear, chaotic	<table border="1"> <tr> <th>relevant arguments/responses</th> <th>reporter's conduct in the discussion</th> </tr> <tr> <td>0</td> <td>too few</td> <td>poor/aggressive</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>some</td> <td>partly fine</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>many</td> <td>good</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>+ data/theory supported</td> <td>some aspects efficient</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4</td> <td>proved deep understanding</td> <td>overall efficient</td> </tr> </table>	relevant arguments/responses	reporter's conduct in the discussion	0	too few	poor/aggressive	1	some	partly fine	2	many	good	3	+ data/theory supported	some aspects efficient	4	proved deep understanding	overall efficient	<p>0 — concise and correct or no questions asked</p> <p>— some incorrect, inconclusive or too long</p> <p>-1 — deeply incorrect or shows deep misconceptions</p>
relevant arguments/responses	reporter's conduct in the discussion																									
0	too few	poor/aggressive																								
1	some	partly fine																								
2	many	good																								
3	+ data/theory supported	some aspects efficient																								
4	proved deep understanding	overall efficient																								
1	some	some	some	review of sources, cited	partly	partly clear																				
2	fair	fair	fair	qualitative agreement	some own input	average	average																			
3	good	good	good	quantitative agreement	+ some interesting results	some aspects above average	some aspects well done																			
4	detailed demonstrative	quite detailed, correct	or ↑ ↓ and multitude of parameters examined — errors analysis	+ limits discussed	or ↑ ↓ and considerable experimental — considerable theoretical	interesting solution	overall clear, demonstrative																			
5	deep and comprehensible, shows physical insight	detailed, complex, completely testable		well fitting, deviations analysed, conclusive		greater extent than expected	+ complex concepts well communicated																			
6																										
7																										

NOTES:

OPPONENT

Start from 1 and add/subtract

$$1 + \square + \square + \square - \square = \square$$

QUESTIONS ASKED	OPPOSITION (SPEECH)	DISCUSSION WITH REPORTER	ANSWERS TO JURY and REVIEWER'S QUESTIONS																																																				
0 — too few, mostly irrelevant	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>understanding of presentation</th> <th>topics raised and their prioritisation</th> <th>own opinions presented</th> <th>time management</th> </tr> </thead> <tr> <td>0</td> <td>almost nothing</td> <td>almost none</td> <td>too few</td> <td>poor</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>some main points</td> <td>too few/many</td> <td>some</td> <td>reasonable</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>main points</td> <td>partially relevant</td> <td>some correct</td> <td>fair</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>all relevant points</td> <td>mostly relevant</td> <td>many correct</td> <td>efficient</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4</td> <td>practically all points</td> <td>+ well prioritised</td> <td>+ improvement suggestions</td> <td>+ all time used</td> </tr> </table>	understanding of presentation	topics raised and their prioritisation	own opinions presented	time management	0	almost nothing	almost none	too few	poor	1	some main points	too few/many	some	reasonable	2	main points	partially relevant	some correct	fair	3	all relevant points	mostly relevant	many correct	efficient	4	practically all points	+ well prioritised	+ improvement suggestions	+ all time used	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>topics raised and their prioritisation</th> <th>own opinions presented</th> <th>opponent's conduct in the discussion</th> </tr> </thead> <tr> <td>0</td> <td>almost none</td> <td>too few</td> <td>poor/aggressive</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>too few/many</td> <td>some</td> <td>some aspects fine</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>partially relevant</td> <td>some correct</td> <td>good</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>mostly relevant</td> <td>many correct</td> <td>some aspects efficient</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4</td> <td>+ well prioritised</td> <td>+ improvement suggestions</td> <td>overall efficient</td> </tr> </table>	topics raised and their prioritisation	own opinions presented	opponent's conduct in the discussion	0	almost none	too few	poor/aggressive	1	too few/many	some	some aspects fine	2	partially relevant	some correct	good	3	mostly relevant	many correct	some aspects efficient	4	+ well prioritised	+ improvement suggestions	overall efficient	<p>0 — concise and correct or no questions asked</p> <p>— some incorrect, inconclusive or too long</p> <p>-1 — deeply incorrect or shows deep misconceptions</p>
understanding of presentation		topics raised and their prioritisation	own opinions presented	time management																																																			
0		almost nothing	almost none	too few	poor																																																		
1		some main points	too few/many	some	reasonable																																																		
2		main points	partially relevant	some correct	fair																																																		
3	all relevant points	mostly relevant	many correct	efficient																																																			
4	practically all points	+ well prioritised	+ improvement suggestions	+ all time used																																																			
topics raised and their prioritisation	own opinions presented	opponent's conduct in the discussion																																																					
0	almost none	too few	poor/aggressive																																																				
1	too few/many	some	some aspects fine																																																				
2	partially relevant	some correct	good																																																				
3	mostly relevant	many correct	some aspects efficient																																																				
4	+ well prioritised	+ improvement suggestions	overall efficient																																																				
1 — relevant, aimed at resolving unclear points in the report																																																							
2 — + short, apt and clear, well prioritised, all time used																																																							

NOTES:

REVIEWER

Start from 1 and add/subtract

$$1 + \square + \square + \square + \square \pm \square - \square = \square$$

QUESTIONS ASKED	REVIEW OF REPORT	REVIEW OF OPPOSITION	DISCUSSION ANALYSIS	MISSED POINTS POINTED OUT	ANSWERS TO JURY QUESTIONS																																							
0 — too few, mostly irrelevant	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>evaluation & understanding</th> <th>pros & cons prioritisation</th> </tr> </thead> <tr> <td>0</td> <td>poor/wrong</td> <td>irrelevant</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>superficial</td> <td>partially relevant/correct</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>good</td> <td>mostly correct/prioritised</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>apt, accurate</td> <td>fully adequate, well prioritised</td> </tr> </table>	evaluation & understanding	pros & cons prioritisation	0	poor/wrong	irrelevant	1	superficial	partially relevant/correct	2	good	mostly correct/prioritised	3	apt, accurate	fully adequate, well prioritised	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>speech evaluation</th> <th>pros & cons prioritisation</th> </tr> </thead> <tr> <td>0</td> <td>poor/wrong</td> <td>irrelevant</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>superficial</td> <td>partially relevant/correct</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>apt & accurate</td> <td>fully adequate, well prioritised</td> </tr> </table>	speech evaluation	pros & cons prioritisation	0	poor/wrong	irrelevant	1	superficial	partially relevant/correct	2	apt & accurate	fully adequate, well prioritised	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>discussion evaluation</th> <th>correct own opinions</th> </tr> </thead> <tr> <td>0</td> <td>poor</td> <td>irrelevant</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>superficial</td> <td>some</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>relevant parts</td> <td>many</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>accurate, convincing</td> <td>fully adequate</td> </tr> </table>	discussion evaluation	correct own opinions	0	poor	irrelevant	1	superficial	some	2	relevant parts	many	3	accurate, convincing	fully adequate	<p>-1 — irrelevant</p> <p>0 — none</p> <p>1 — relevant, constructive</p>	<p>0 — concise and correct or no questions asked</p> <p>-1 — some incorrect, inconclusive or too long</p> <p>-2 — deeply incorrect or shows deep misconceptions</p>
evaluation & understanding		pros & cons prioritisation																																										
0		poor/wrong	irrelevant																																									
1		superficial	partially relevant/correct																																									
2	good	mostly correct/prioritised																																										
3	apt, accurate	fully adequate, well prioritised																																										
speech evaluation	pros & cons prioritisation																																											
0	poor/wrong	irrelevant																																										
1	superficial	partially relevant/correct																																										
2	apt & accurate	fully adequate, well prioritised																																										
discussion evaluation	correct own opinions																																											
0	poor	irrelevant																																										
1	superficial	some																																										
2	relevant parts	many																																										
3	accurate, convincing	fully adequate																																										
1 — relevant, meant to clarify unclear points																																												
2 — + suitably allotted to Rep & Opp, most time used																																												
3 — + short, apt and clear, well prioritised, time managed efficiently																																												

NOTES: